

REQUEST	14105 - FOOD HYGIENE INSPECTIONS	RESPONSE								
<p>Please provide me with the food hygiene inspection report with associated revisit reports for:</p> <p>Angelo's Takeaway 235 Chapel Street Leigh WN7 2AP</p>		<p>I can confirm that an officer visited this premises on 30 June 2022 to carry out a food hygiene inspection. The outcome of this inspection was a Food Hygiene Rating of 0, (Urgent Improvement Necessary).</p> <p>The specific criteria assessed is summarised in the table below:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="597 533 1182 1003"> <tr> <td data-bbox="597 533 902 646">Compliance with food hygiene and safety procedures</td> <td data-bbox="902 533 1182 646">20 (widespread major non-compliance)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="597 646 902 730">Compliance with structural requirements</td> <td data-bbox="902 646 1182 730">20 (widespread major non-compliance)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="597 730 902 844">Confidence in management/control procedures</td> <td data-bbox="902 730 1182 844">20 (significantly varying record of compliance)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="597 844 902 1003">Food Hygiene Rating 0</td> <td data-bbox="902 844 1182 1003"> <i>Intervention rating score- 60</i> <i>Food Hygiene rating</i> (Urgent improvement necessary) </td> </tr> </table> <p>The information you have requested is exempt under Section 30 (1)(b) of the FOI Act which states that: Information held by a public authority is exempt information if it has at any time been held by the authority for the purposes of:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - any investigation which is conducted by the authority and in the circumstances may lead to a decision by the authority to institute criminal proceedings which the authority has power to conduct. <p>This exemption is subject to a “public interest test”. This has been considered and concluded that as the information could still be referred to in any future proceedings, disclosing the information could potentially prejudice any future investigations.</p> <p>Our public interest argument is as follows:</p> <p>Public interest arguments in favour of maintaining the exemption: The information contained within the food hygiene inspection report could be considered in determining whether or not legal proceedings against the business should be instigated.</p> <p>The information would form part of any prosecution file. Premature disclosure of this information could compromise the proceedings and prejudice the right to a fair trial.</p> <p>Public interest arguments in favour of disclosure: Disclosure would promote transparency and accountability in how the council carries out its regulatory function. Such transparency could help to improve food hygiene standards by promoting good practice and highlighting business that need</p>	Compliance with food hygiene and safety procedures	20 (widespread major non-compliance)	Compliance with structural requirements	20 (widespread major non-compliance)	Confidence in management/control procedures	20 (significantly varying record of compliance)	Food Hygiene Rating 0	<i>Intervention rating score- 60</i> <i>Food Hygiene rating</i> (Urgent improvement necessary)
Compliance with food hygiene and safety procedures	20 (widespread major non-compliance)									
Compliance with structural requirements	20 (widespread major non-compliance)									
Confidence in management/control procedures	20 (significantly varying record of compliance)									
Food Hygiene Rating 0	<i>Intervention rating score- 60</i> <i>Food Hygiene rating</i> (Urgent improvement necessary)									

to make to make improvements. It may also improve the standards of inspections and decisions taken by the council.

Disclosure of information about businesses which do not meet the required standards enables the public to decide whether or not to use their services.

Balance of the public interest arguments:

The Food Hygiene Rating Scheme provides the public with information about the hygiene standards in food premises. The rating is publicly available and therefore, to an extent, allows the public to make informed choices. This reduces the case for disclosure. We are therefore of the view that, in this case, the public interest falls in favour of maintaining the exemption.