

HOUSING AND SUPPORT NEEDS ANALYSIS

YOUNG PEOPLE AT RISK, YOUNG PEOPLE LEAVING CARE, YOUNG PEOPLE WITH DRUG AND ALCOHOL PROBLEMS

Wigan Social Services Document control		
Document title	Appendix P to the Supporting People 5 year strategy	
Purpose	To inform the planning of supported housing for Young People at risk, young people leaving care, young people with drug and alcohol problems	
Author	Sarah McCarthy	
Date of publication	3.5.05	
Valid until	2010 (To be reviewed by 31.3.06)	
Owner/responsibility	Supporting People Commissioning Body	
Distribution	Placed on Homelessness website Supporting People Commissioning Body SPKweb	
Version	Date	Comments
Final	3.5.05	Please report any anomalies to S McCarthy

Details of amendments (including Section Number)	Name of person amending document	Date of amendment
Inclusion of outcome data (2005/6 and 06/07 Q1)	Julie McGregor (SP Team)	August 2006
Inclusion of client record data 2005/6	Julie McGregor (SP Team)	September 2006
Client record data (06/07 Q1 and 2)	Paula Adamczyk (SP Team)	Jan 07
Amendments to structure of PIs and Client Record Data plus inclusion of narrative	Sarah McCarthy/Paula (SP Team)	January 2007
Client record details 06/07 Q3	Paula Adamczyk (SP Team)	Feb 07
Removal of Rathbone from Supply mapping	Sarah McCarthy (SP Team)	Feb 07
Inclusion of outcome data (2006/07 Q2-3))	Paula Adamczyk (SP Team)	Feb 07

YOUNG PEOPLE AT RISK/YOUNG PEOPLE LEAVING CARE AND YOUNG PEOPLE WITH DRUG AND ALCOHOL PROBLEMS/YOUNG OFFENDERS

1.0 NATIONAL AND LOCAL CONTEXT

1.1 YOUNG PEOPLES SUBSTANCE MISUSE PLAN

1.2 YOUTH JUSTICE PLAN UPDATE

Measure 12

- All YOTS have a named accommodation officer
- All (100%) young people either subject to community interventions or on release from the secure estate have satisfactory accommodation to go to.
- Young people supervised by YOT
- Of the above, those that have satisfactory accommodation to go to at either the conclusion of the community intervention or on release from the secure estate have satisfactory accommodation to

2.0 CURRENT SUPPLY

2.1 CURRENT PROVISION

Name of Provider	Supported Housing Projects			Floating Support
	Number of projects	Number of units	Location	Number of units
Arena	1	24	Wigan	0
Supported lodgings	3	3	various	0
Rainer	0	0	0	15

5 hostels for single homeless people under 25 categorised as for single homeless people

2.2 CURRENT LEVEL OF PROVISION: REGIONAL AND NATIONAL COMPARISON

(To be updated with data used for refresh of prioritisation framework – Feb 07)

Figures, supplied by the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister, showing the provision of Supporting People funded services, as at 31st March 2003, indicate that the number of units within the Borough is lower than the regional and national average, however the grant per 1,000 population is average.

	Wigan		Regional		National	
	Accommodation Based	Floating	Accommodation Based	Floating	Accommodation Based	Floating
Units per 1,000 population	0.11 (0.01)	0.06 (0)	0.18 (0.01)	0.14(0.01)	0.19 (0.03)	0.09 (0.02)
Grant per 1,000 population	21.12 (0.65)	15.45 (0)	29.69 (1.84)	8.45 (1.0)	28.51(4.81)	6.14 (1.32)
Unit costs	186.37 (98.17)	257.50 (0)	166.54 (143.10)	59.21 (62.25)	152.56 (142.88)	70.69 (74.66)

3.0 WHAT IS THE LEVEL OF NEED?

3.1 HOMELESS PRESENTATIONS (2003/4)

Reason for homeless presentation	16/17	18-25	Total
Parents unwilling	121	352	473
Relatives unable	19	75	94
Violent Breakdown	9	68	77
Non-violent breakdown	6	123	129
Mortgage Arrears	0	10	10
Rent Arrears-MBC	1	15	16
Rent Arrears-RSL	1	2	3
Rent Arrears-Private	1	21	22
Termination: Shorthand	0	23	23
Loss of rented: Other Reason	4	99	103
In Care/institution	2	14	16
Homeless in emergency	0	4	4
Refugee/Asylum Seeker	0	6	6
Sleeping Rough	2	10	12
In Hostel	1	4	5
No Fixed Abode	14	125	139
Violence from outside the home	3	55	58
Loss of Caravan/site	0	2	2
Evicted from Hostel	2	9	11
Other reason	6	52	58
Racially motivated violence	0	0	0
Other forms of violence	1	6	7
Racially motivated harassment	0	8	8
Other forms of violence	0	2	2
Required to leave/NASS accommodation	0	1	1
Grand Total	193	1086	1279
Total no. of Homeless presentations			2961
Percentage of all presentations			43%

3.2 ANALYSIS OF PROVIDER REFERRAL DATA

2003/4: 665 people applied to access Coops, County Palatine hostels, Housing link, Railway Road (part year) and Floating support –offenders (part year). Of which 566 (233 accepted) tried to access one support service and 99 (56 accepted) tried to access more than one service.

3.3 SUPPORTING PEOPLE CLIENT RECORD DATA

Every Supporting People funded service (except for sheltered housing) is required to complete a client record form for each new service user. The aggregated data for young people at risk is shown in the tables included in Appendix A.

The key points from the data are outlined below:

- During the last 3 years between 206 and 250 people on an annual basis have a primary or secondary need which is related to being a young person at risk (see Table 1)
- There are a range of secondary needs amongst young people at risk with the three highest being single homeless, offending and complex needs. However there are also significant numbers with mental health problems and learning disabilities

The following data relates only to those young people at risk as a primary need (between 103 and 184 over the last 3 years)

- 1.8% of young people accessing SP services are from BME communities which is less than the BME population in the community (Table 3)
- Between 58% and 85% of young people at risk have accessed accommodation based services with the remainder accessing floating support (Table 4)
- A consistent pattern has emerged which shows that young people at risk are accessing services for the following groups: single homeless, homeless families, young people. (Table 5)
- A wide range of types of accommodation were occupied by young people at risk prior to accessing SP services (Table 6)
- Most referrals are from housing or self referrals (Table 7)
- A minimal proportion of people require assistance under any of the following statutory framework with the exception of the homelessness legislation under which approximately 40% or more service users are entitled to assistance
 - Care management (Table 8)
 - Care Programme Approach (Table 9)
 - Probation Service or Youth Offending Team (Table 10)
 - Drug Intervention Programme (Table 11)
 - Statutorily homeless and owed a main homeless duty (Table 12)
 - Care Programme Approach (enhanced) (Table 13)
 - Multi Agency Protection Panel (Table 14)
- Number of young people at risk accessing SP services subject to the requirement of an Anti Social Behaviour Orders (Table 15) *No data available*
- Geographical location of the accommodation occupied by young people at risk immediately prior to receiving support (Table 16)

3.4 ANALYSIS OF PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Occupancy

What is the occupancy level of services classified as for young people at risk?

	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07 Q1	2006/07 Q2	2006/07 Q3
Accommodation Based	92.8	96.1	98.7		
Floating Support	100.0	102.8	83.8		

Throughput

What level of throughput is there in services classified as for young people at risk?

	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07 Q1	2006/07 Q2	2006/07 Q3
Accommodation Based					
Floating Support					

Outcomes - Floating support

What proportion of people living in floating support services specifically for young people at risk have moved on in a planned way?

	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07 Q1	2006/07 Q2	2006/07 Q3
Floating Support	79.5%	82.4%			

Where do people leaving floating support services specifically for young people move to?

	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07 Q1	2006/07 Q2	2006/07 Q3
Completed support programme	20	27	7	3	5
To independent housing	0	0	0	0	0
To sheltered housing	0	0	0	0	0
To long-term supported housing	0	0	0	0	0
Died	0	0	0	0	0
Committed suicide	0	0	0	0	0
Taken into custody	8	3	0	1	0
To a long-stay hospital /hospice	0	0	0	0	0
To an acute psychiatric hospital	0	0	0	0	0
To a care home	0	0	0	0	0
To a nursing care home	0	0	0	0	0
To short-term supported	0	0	0	0	0

housing					
Evicted	1	0	0	0	0
Abandoned tenancy	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown / lost contact	12	15	2	3	6

Outcomes – Short Term Accommodation Based Services

What proportion of people leaving short term accommodation specifically for young people have moved on in a planned way? (See explanation of planned and unplanned below)?

Short term services	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07 Q1	2006/07 Q2	2006/07 Q3
Accommodation based	65.6%	85.7%			

*In the table below the destinations marked with a * are always classed as unplanned. The remaining destinations can be either planned or unplanned depending on the individual's circumstances*

Where do individuals leaving short term accommodation specifically for young people at risk move to?

	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07 Q1	2006/07 Q2	2006/07 Q3
Staying with friends	2	4	0	0	0
Staying with family members	5	7	2	3	0
Bed & breakfast	0	4	0	0	0
Supported housing	5	1	0	3	0
Sheltered housing	0	0	0	0	0
Moved into a care home	0	0	0	0	0
Owner occupier	0	0	0	0	0
Renting privately owned accom	2	3	1	0	0
RSL tenancy	5	3	1	3	0
Local authority tenancy	2	15	3	1	0
Returned to previous home	0	0	1	0	0
Entered hospital (not long term care)	0	0	0	0	0
Committed suicide	0	0	0	0	0
Taken into custody	2	2	0	0	0
Sleeping rough	0	0	0	0	0
Entered a long stay hospital/hospice	0	0	0	0	0
Entered an acute psychiatric hospital	0	1	0	0	0
Not known	9	2	0	0	0

3.5 Consultation

Provider Interviews in 2004

- Gap - Supported lodgings
- Gap - Emergency accommodation for young people
- Gap - Support to people moving on from Rathbones
- Gap- Befriending
- Problems with getting information from referring agencies eg not until the interview stage did the Provider find out that the young person had a history of violence and arson
- Problems around awareness amongst referring agencies about the purpose of schemes – people are referred inappropriately to the Foyer. This is partly due to the lack of emergency accommodation.
- Sometimes statutory agencies don't provide sufficient support once young person has moved into a project
- Insufficient referral data is sometimes a problem
- Gap - Services for people who are chaotic
- Need for more diversity – many people can not cope with living in a hostel
- Wigan should consider dispersed/Cluster house - Bolton model
- Small provision needed - like CP
- Loneliness is a key problem – buddy system facilitated by workers

Consultation Event – November 2004 - gaps identified

- Access to tenancies for 16/17 year olds
- More shared housing (cheaper and more cost effective)
- Crisis worker – extra support when things go wrong
- Mentoring scheme – for low level support when support ends
- Somewhere like BASE in Bolton
- Bond Scheme
- Specialist drug and alcohol project for young people

Service User Views

- There needs to be more intensive support. Young people had often come from chaotic backgrounds, and needed a service where workers could be contacted during times of crisis. The level of support was important; the odd hour here and there was no use. Support needed to be a joint effort, as the people responding found learning easier when shown tasks by their worker. It was important that appointments were kept, or rearranged with adequate time given beforehand.

4.0 CURRENT PROVISION: ARE CURRENT SERVICES MEETING LOCAL NEEDS AND PRIORITIES?

4.1 ASSESSMENT AS AT MARCH 2005

Comparative data shows that the level of provision is below average within Wigan, but the level of grant is above average. There also appears to be a significant level of unmet need amongst this client group as evidenced by the referral data, although further analysis is currently being undertaken

4.2 ASSESSMENT AS AT JANUARY – MARCH 2007

Still to be completed

5.0 GAPS AND PRIORITIES

5.1 GAPS – MARCH 2005

The **gaps** in services identified at March 2005 have been listed below:

- Supported lodgings
- Emergency accommodation
- Befriending/mentoring
- Floating support for people moving on from Rathbones
- Intensive floating support required
- Access to tenancies
- Specialist drug and alcohol service for young people
- Bond scheme
- Somewhere like BASE in Bolton
- Shared housing
- Crises worker
- Leaving care type hostel - similar to St Helens
- Preventative services e.g. respite

5.2 PRIORITIES – MARCH 2005

2005/6 - SERVICES FOR YOUNG PEOPLE HAVE BEEN PLACED IN PRIORITY BAND 2

Priorities include

- The needs of young people to be considered in the development of priority schemes: emergency accommodation and services for people with drug and alcohol problems

5.3 REVIEW OF GAPS: JAN – MARCH 2007

- Provider Forum Consultation –additional gap identified: services for people with a low or moderate level of learning disability who experience difficulty coping in homelessness services but are not eligible for assistance from the learning disabilities team
- Core Strategy Development Group Consultation – accommodation for young offenders identified as an area for improvement by the JAR but improving in terms of the KPIs
- Homelessness Forum Consultation – to be included
- Young Peoples Accommodation Group – to be included

5.4 REVIEW OF PRIORITIES: JAN- MARCH 2007

Still to be completed

6.0 KEY STRATEGIC PLANNING GROUP

Young Peoples Accommodation Group

7.0 USEFUL DOCUMENTS

Children and Young Peoples Plan
Young Peoples Substance Misuse Plan

8.0 RELEVANT LINKS

9.0 ACTION PLAN

OBJECTIVE	TASK	BY WHOM	BY WHEN	PROGRESS
Strategic planning	Strategic review of emergency accommodation and floating support	SP Team/Housing Strategy	July 2005	Strategic review of short term accommodation based and floating support services ongoing
Capital investment	Determine investment required	SP Team/Housing Strategy	July 2005	To be determined through the above review
Needs Assessment	Analysis of referral data to be completed – feed into review of emergency accommodation and floating support	SP Team	May 2005	Referral and move on monitoring currently taking place
Service Review	Complete review	SP Team	May 2005	Completed
Joint working	Share performance information with Young Peoples Accommodation Group	SP Team	From April 2005 on an ongoing basis	Process now established

SUPPORTING PEOPLE WITH CLIENT RECORD DATA: YOUNG PEOPLE AT RISK

Every supporting People funded service (except for sheltered housing) is required to complete a client record form for each new service user. The aggregated data for young people at risk is shown in the tables included below.

TABLE	DATA
	Primary needs, secondary needs and ethnic origin
Table 1	Number of people with primary or secondary need defined as young people at risk
Table 2	Secondary needs of young people at risk - up to 3 can be selected
Table 3	Ethnic origin of young people at risk
	Types of SP services used
Table 4	Type of SP service occupied by young people at risk e.g. supported housing, foyer, floating support etc
Table 5	The designation (by client group) of SP services occupied by young people at risk
	Previous accommodation occupied
Table 6	The type of accommodation occupied by young people at risk immediately prior to receiving SP support
	Referrals
Table 7	The types of organisation which referred young people at risk to SP services
	Number of young people at risk accessing SP services requiring assistance under a range of statutory frameworks:
Table 8	Care management
Table 9	Care Programme Approach
Table 10	Probation Service or Youth Offending Team
Table 11	Drug Intervention Programme
Table 12	Statutorily homeless and owed a main homeless duty
	Number of young people at risk accessing SP services who have been assessed as a high risk under:
Table 13	Care Programme Approach (enhanced)
Table 14	Multi Agency Protection Panel
Table 15	Number of young people at risk accessing SP services subject to the requirement of an Anti Social Behaviour Orders
	Local Connection
(Table 16)	Geographical location of the accommodation occupied by young people at risk immediately prior to receiving support

SUPPORTING PEOPLE WITH CLIENT RECORD DATA: YOUNG PEOPLE AT RISK

Table 1 **How many young people at risk have accessed Supporting People funded services?**

	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>
Primary client group designation of young people at risk with support needs	103	184	115	53 (8)	
Secondary client group designation of young people at risk with support needs	103	66	93	20 (9)	
Total	206	250	208	73 (17)	

(j) No. of young people leaving care figures

Tables 2-16 provide additional information about the circumstances and needs of young people at risk as a primary need (e.g. 2005/6 – 115 people as indicated in the above table)

Table 2 **What are the secondary needs of people with a primary need of young people at risk?**

	<i>Young People at Risk</i>				
<i>Secondary need</i>	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>
Older people with support needs	0	0	0	0	
Older people with mental health needs	0	0	0	0	
Frail elderly	0	0	0	0	
Mental health problems	5	6	6	2	
Learning disabilities	6	3	9	4 (1)	
Physical disabilities	3	3	0	0	
Single homeless with support needs	27	31	31	9 (1)	
Alcohol problems	1	2	4	5	
Drug problems	2	5	4	3	
Offenders or at risk of offending	4	9	11	7 (4)	

	Young People at Risk				
Secondary need	2003/4	2004/5	2005/6	2006/7 Q1 – Q3	2007/8
Older people with support needs	0	0	0	0	
Mentally disordered offenders	0	0	0	0	
Young people at risk	2	4	3	0 (3)	
Young people leaving care	4	7	0	1	
Women at risk of domestic violence	3	6	0	3 (1)	
People with Hiv/AIDS	0	0	0	0	
Homeless families with support need	0	1	1	1	
Teenage parents	0	3	0	1	
Rough sleepers	3	5	1	3	
Traveler	0	0	0	0	
Complex	4	12	5	7 (1)	

Table 3 What is the Ethnic Origin of young people at risk accessing SP services?

	Young People at Risk				
Ethnic Origin	2003/4	2004/5	2005/6	2006/7 Q1 – Q3	2007/8
White: British	98	179	114	50 (7)	
Irish	0	1	0	0	
Other	3	0	0	(1)	
Mixed: White & Black Caribbean	0	2	0	0	
White & Black African	0	0	0	0	
White & Asian	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	0	0	0	
Asian or Asian British: Indian	1	0	1	0	
Pakistani	0	0	0	0	
Bangladeshi	0	0	0	0	
Other	1	2	0	0	
Black or Black British: Caribbean	0	0	0	0	

	<i>Young People at Risk</i>				
<i>Ethnic Origin</i>	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>
African	0	0	0	1	
Other	0	0	0	0	
Chinese or other ethnic group:	0	0	0	2	
Chinese					
Other ethnic group	0	0	0	0	
Refused	0	0	0	0	
Total	103	184	115	53 (8)	

Table 4 **What types of Supporting People services are occupied by young people at risk?**

	<i>Young People at Risk</i>				
<i>Type of Service</i>	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>
Supported housing	38	60	40	17 (2)	
Residential care home	0	0	0	0	
Adult placement	0	0	0	0	
Supported lodgings	0	0	0	0	
Women's refuge	4	0	0	0	
Foyer	20	19	29	19 (6)	
Teenage parent accom	0	0	0	0	
Direct access	26	28	0	1	
Floating support	15	77	46	16	
Outreach service	0	0	0	0	
Resettlement service	0	0	0	0	
Total	103	184	115	53 (8)	

Table 5 **What is the designation of services by client group occupied by young people at risk?**

	<i>Young People at Risk</i>				
<i>Designation of Service</i>	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>
Older people with support needs	0	0	0	9 (1)	
Older people with mental	0	0	0	0	

	Young People at Risk				
Designation of Service	2003/4	2004/5	2005/6	2006/7 Q1 – Q3	2007/8
Older people with support needs	0	0	0	9 (1)	
health needs					
Frail elderly	0	0	0	0	
Mental health problems	5	7	9	1	
Learning disabilities	4	8	7	1	
Physical disabilities	0	0	0	0	
Single homeless with support needs	27	37	40	2	
Alcohol problems	0	0	0	0	
Drug problems	0	0	0	0	
Offenders or at risk of offending	5	1	1	0	
Mentally disordered offenders	0	0	0	0	
Young people at risk	20	31	43	32 (6)	
Young people leaving care	0	0	0	0	
Women at risk of domestic violence	4	0	0	0	
People with Hiv/AIDS	0	0	0	0	
Homeless families with support need	7	45	13	8 (1)	
Teenage parents	5	12	0	0	
Rough sleepers	0	0	0	0	
Traveler	0	0	0	0	
Generic	0	1	0	0	
Total	77	142	113	53 (8)	

Check figures

Table 6 What types of accommodation were occupied by young people at risk immediately prior to receiving the SP service?

	Young people at Risk				
Type of Accommodation	2003/4	2004/5	2005/6	2006/7 Q1 – Q3	2007/8
Local Authority general needs tenancy	6	7	11	5	

	<i>Young people at Risk</i>				
<i>Type of Accommodation</i>	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>
RSL as above	5	8	4	1	
Private sector tenancy	2	1	1	0	
Tied housing or rented with job	0	1	0	0	
Owner occupation	0	0	0	0	
Supported Housing	17	15	14	9 (1)	
Direct access hostel	9	11	16	4	
Women's Refuge	0	0	0	0	
Foyer	0	0	0	0	
Housing for older people	0	0	0	0	
Residential care home	0	1	1	(1)	
Hospital	0	0	0	0	
Prison	0	3	1	0	
Approved probation hostel	0	0	0	0	
Children's home/foster care	1	6	1	(2)	
Bed and breakfast	8	8	4	3 (2)	
Short life housing	2	0	0	0	
Living with family	42	83	43	17 (1)	
Living with friends	7	23	12	6	
Mobile Home/Caravan	0	0	0	0	
Any other temp accom	2	7	3	(1)	
Rough sleeping	2	6	0	4	
Other	0	4	4	4	
Total	103	184	115	53 (8)	

Table 7 Who referred young people at risk to the SP services?

	<i>Young People at Risk</i>				
<i>Referring Agency</i>	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>
Nominated by local housing authority	12	14	16	1	
LA Housing Department referral	16	14	15	13 (1)	
Social services	11	15	9	5 (6)	
Probation service/prison	1	3	0	2	

	<i>Young People at Risk</i>				
<i>Referring Agency</i>	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>
Community Mental Health Team	1	0	0		
Voluntary agency	3	7	6	2	
Self referral/Direct application	37	99	37	13	
Nominated under MoveUK	0	0	0		
Client applied for internal transfer	8	10	12	1	
Moving from another RSL	3	1	2		
Health service/GP	0	1	0		
Youth Offending Team	4	4	1	2	
Police	0	0	0		
Other	7	16	17	14 (1)	
Total	103	184	115	53 (8)	

Tables 8-12 show the number of Young People at Risk accessing sp services requiring assistance under a range of statutory frameworks:

- Care management (Table 8)
- Care Programme Approach (Table 9)
- Probation Service or Youth Offending Team (Table 10)
- Drug Intervention Programme (Table 11)
- Statutorily homeless and owed a main homeless duty (Table 12)

Table 8 How many young people at risk accessing SP services have been accepted under the Care management (Social Services) framework?

	<i>Young People at Risk</i>				
<i>Care Management Framework</i>	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>
Yes	8	11	9	3 (8)	
No	80	87	90	48	
Don't know	15	86	16	2	
Total	103	184	115	53 (8)	

Table 9 How many young people at risk are subject to the Care Programme Approach(Mental Health)?

	<i>Young People at Risk</i>				
<i>Care Programme Approach</i>	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>
Yes	2	2	4	0 (3)	
No	84	96	94	52 (5)	
Don't know	17	86	17	1	
Total	103	184	115	53 (8)	

Table 10 How many young people at risk accessing SP services are under the supervision of the Probation Service or in receipt of services provided by YOT?

	<i>Young People at Risk</i>				
<i>Probation Service/YOT</i>	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>
Yes	10	7	7	7 (1)	
No	66	96	97	44 (7)	
Don't know	27	81	11	2	
Total	103	184	115	53 (8)	

Table 11 How many service users accessing SP services receive support provided by a Drugs Intervention Programme Worker

	<i>Young People at Risk</i>				
<i>Drugs Intervention Programme</i>	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>
Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	
No	n/a	n/a	n/a	51 (8)	
Don't know	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	
Total	n/a	n/a	n/a	53 (8)	

Table 12 How many young people at risk are Statutorily Homeless and owed a Main Homelessness Duty?

	<i>Young People at Risk</i>				
<i>Statutorily Homeless</i>	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>
Yes	58	69	33	15 (2)	
No	37	72	76	38 (6)	
Don't know	8	43	6	0	
Total	103	184	115	53 (8)	

Table 13 How many young people at risk accessing SP funded services are subject to the Care Programme Approach(enhanced)?

	<i>Young People at Risk</i>				
<i>Care Programme Approach (enhanced)</i>	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>
Yes	2	0	2	0	
No	89	101	101	52 (8)	
Don't know	12	83	12	1	
Total	103	184	115	53 (8)	

Table 14 How many young people at risk accessing SP services are subject to the Multi Agency Public Protection Arrangements?

	<i>Young People at Risk</i>				
<i>MAPPA</i>	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>
Yes	1	0	0	0 (2)	
No	88	105	102	49 (5)	
Don't know	14	82	13	4 (1)	
Total	103	187	115	53 (8)	

Check figures

Table 15 How many young people at risk accessing SP services are subject to requirements under an Anti Social Behaviour Order (ASBO)?

	<i>Young People at Risk</i>				
<i>ASBO</i>	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>
Yes	0	0	0	2	
No	0	0	0	50 (8)	
Don't know	0	0	0	1	
Total	0	0	0	53 (8)	

Table 16 Previous Local Authority (enter data)

	<i>Young People at Risk</i>				
	<i>2003/4</i>	<i>2004/5</i>	<i>2005/6</i>	<i>2006/7 Q1 – Q3</i>	<i>2007/8</i>