

# MIER Workshop

26 March 2009

## Evaluation



### 1. How would you rate your overall satisfaction with the event?

Very satisfied	23
Satisfied	40
Satisfied with most aspects	8
Not very satisfied	1
Not at all satisfied	0

### 2. How would you rate the content of the presentations?

Very good	39
Fairly good	29
Neither good nor poor	1
Fairly poor	2
Very poor	0

### 3. How would you rate the workshop sessions?

Very good	
Fairly good	
Neither good nor poor	
Fairly poor	
Very poor	

### 4. Was the length of the event too short, too long or just right?

Just right	65
Too long	1
Too short	5

### 5. How would you rate the venue?

Very good	54
Fairly good	13
Neither good nor poor	1
Fairly poor	4
Very poor	0

**6. How would you rate the catering at the event?**

Very good	39
Fairly good	25
Neither good nor poor	3
Fairly poor	0
Very poor	1

**7. Did you find the day useful and informative?**

Yes	70
No	0

**8. Going forward, what method of communication would you like us to use to consult with you?**

Newsletter	10	
Email	62	
Consultation events	11	
Other (please state)		Good web content

**9. Do you have any other comments about the event?**

- \* I had some difficulty in seeing the screen. It could have been a little higher.
- \* Perhaps more time for questions and answers
- \* Greater emphasis required on MIER study. It was perhaps a little ambitious to cover this topic in a 45 minute presentation. Despite this some very interesting and thought provoking points were made.
- \* From a presentational view point, a larger screen would have assisted people at the rear of the room
- \* Acoustics / visuals sometimes difficult to hear / see. Excellent speakers!
- \* Too much time spent on economic history and current position. More time needed on what future issues are and what we are doing now / should do.
- \* Set up of It equipment needed more thought. Deliverer of slides obscured vision of the screen with details on. Graphics not being visible.
- \* Good venue, but maybe not the best location as many people will be travelling back to Wigan.
- \* Some of the abbreviations, jargon, language used was not always understood.
- \* MIER presentation gave a standard Wigan context which could have been circulated, so more time could have been spent on the actual review of findings, allowing more time to look at it from a Wigan point of view.
- \* The screen could not be viewed and difficult to follow the explanation of some stats. Plus it was not always possible to hear the questions, maybe the mic should have been
- \* Good venue, shame about the public transport links. If Wigan "punches its weight" regionally it also has to feel good about itself locally. Will local growth be able to produce the investment capital needed for local infrastructure improvements?
- \* Good presentations. Very informative.

- \* The position of the lectern and speaker made the screen impossible to see. Whilst the stadium is a nice venue, most people were travelling from / back to Wigan, so it wasn't really the ideal choice for this event. More consideration needs to be given to where people are coming from / going to when choosing a venue for an event.
- \* MIER slides too detailed to appreciate.
- \* MIER used too much jargon. Whilst I agree with focus needed on people, most important is investment in capital projects mainly infrastructure to increase attractiveness to business - both in Wigan, allowing them to grow within the city region, and to inward investment. Focus on building communities with goodx facilities and services.
- \* Content of MIER presentation disappointing as based on past information. Little focus on current economic picture.
- \* Excellent. Very informative.
- \* Kevin's presentation was very good.
- \* The screen was badly placed - not a clear view from left of room. Kevin's presentation
- \* Venue is hard to reach by public transport. 'Carbon footprint' for event is high! No need to have lights on in room - 'sustainability'?
- \* Event was better than I thought it might be, but I felt we needed more time. I thought the venue was bit souless.
- \* Venue was difficult to get to.
- \* Need to ensure people can get to the venue by ways other than car.
- \* Poor facilities i.e. seating arrangements
- \* Excellent. Very thought inspiring.

**Name:**

**Address:**

**Email:**

**Tel:**