

Report to: Cabinet

Date: 21st August 2008

Subject: Revenue Budget Monitoring and Treasury Management Report - Quarter 1

Report of: Executive Director of Business Support

Contact officer: A Taylor 2243

Purpose / summary: To report progress on budgets against spending to the end of June 2008 and also to review the operation and exercise of delegated Treasury Management powers. This report covers the first three months. In addition the report reviews the credit rating of the organisations with which the Authority can place its surplus funds.

Alternative options considered and reason for selecting the one recommended: No alternatives to reporting. This is essential best practice financial management.

Recommendation / decision: To note the report and the action being taken to contain spending within the agreed budgets where possible. To endorse the deletion of Alliance & Leicester PLC, the inclusion of Leeds Building Society and the increase in the maximum lending limit of clearing banks to £20.0m for the authority's investment list.

Risks / Implications:

Financial: The adjusted variation for the first quarter is currently showing a favourable variation of £1.229m but the prediction is that this will increase slightly to £1.315m by the end of the financial year. The key elements contributing towards the reduction are: a receipt of a dividend from Manchester Airport, Interest earned on balances and underspends on carry forward items such as Brighter Borough, IT

<p>Staffing:</p> <p>Policy:</p> <p>Equal Opportunities - Has a Diversity Impact Assessment been conducted?</p> <p>Wards affected:</p>	<p>Investment account These reductions have been partly offset by additional service pressures on Adults Services.</p> <p>None identified within the report</p> <p>Budget Framework</p> <p>A diversity impact assessment is not necessary at this stage, however, equality and diversity implications have been considered when producing this report.</p> <p>All</p>
---	---

Property Implications – Does the proposal involve a reduction, addition or change to the Council’s asset base or its occupation?

No

If yes, have the property implications been agreed with the Corporate Property Officer?

Does this proposal have significant implications for the Council and the local population?

A diversity impact assessment is not necessary at this stage, however, equality and diversity implications have been considered when producing this report.

Does this proposal involve a new policy or procedure or significant changes to an existing policy or procedure?

A diversity impact assessment is not necessary at this stage, however, equality and diversity implications have been considered when producing this report.

Has the Service Director - Borough Solicitor confirmed that the recommendations within this report are lawful and comply with the Council’s Constitution?	Yes
Has the Service Director - Corporate Services confirmed that any expenditure referred to within this report is consistent with the Council’s budget?	Yes
Are any of the recommendations within this report contrary to the Policy Framework of the Council?	No

* delete which applicable

For Cabinet reports only :

Categorisation of the report:	X		
Discussion leading to a decision		Discussion	
Monitoring	X	Decision	
Sharing for corporate understanding		Information	

Tracking/Process:

	Consultation	Ward Members	Partners
	CDT 29 July 2008		
Panel	Overview & Scrutiny	Cabinet	Council
		21 August 2008	

There are no Background Papers to this Report within the meaning of Section 100D of the Local Government Act 1972.

Proper Officer D J Smith

Date 21 August 2008

1. Introduction

This is the first budget monitoring statement for the 2008/09 financial year and provides members with the spending position at the end of June 2008 together with a projected position to the year end. The report now includes for the first time a review of the Treasury Management arrangements for the authority. This was previously reported separately.

Some of the savings that have been identified are attributable to the Support Service Review and the intention is for these to be ring fenced and to form part of the funding towards the planned redeployment of back office resources to frontline services. It is also best practice, under the Use of Resources framework, to identify Gershon cash releasing efficiencies within the reporting process.

2. Background

In line with the required focus on high risk areas, reports on Adults have been considered regularly by Cabinet during the year. A summary position for June has been included in this aggregate report.

A summary of the overall budget monitoring position is included at Appendix A. This is at the highest possible level and has deliberately been structured around the information held on the council's corporate budget and accounting ledger database (Agresso) to meet best practice requirements.

It should be noted that as some budgets are profiled to the end of the financial year it may only be at this time that potential under/over spends emerge. Therefore any variations in these items will not be reported until the quarter 4 report.

The budgets for the Business Support Services were created at the end of the 2008/09 budget process based upon the information available at that time; Fine tuning is still ongoing and therefore no central support recharges have been processed for this period. Any variations relating to central recharges have been eliminated in the 'Adjusted for other items' column contained in Appendix A. A review of central support recharges will be undertaken later in the year and for future reports budget profiles adjusted accordingly.

The report now explains any changes made to the Original Estimate and analyses the major variations as set out in Appendix A together with details on the projected outturn position at the year end.

3. Original Budget 2008/09

For audit trail purposes the original budget must be reconciled back to the approved estimates in the published budget booklet.

In previous years the Original Budget was adjusted to recognise the automatically carried forward items and any retention bids. However these items have not already been reported to cabinet but are included in the Medium Term Plan report elsewhere on this agenda and therefore any approval will only be reflected in future monitoring reports. The budget reported does include any virement and budget restructuring that has taken place during the year.

Members are reminded that provision for pay awards made in the 2008/09 budget is 2% and the current employer's offer of 2.5% would put approximately £0.650m on this year's pay bill.

4. Performance Indicators

Work is currently underway to compile a new subset of performance indicators following the introduction of the new set of national indicators for 2008/09. In line with best practice a subset of relevant performance indicators will continue to be included in future monitoring reports once this work has been completed.

5. Significant Variation(s) and Projection to year end

As explained in section 2 the quarter 1 figures do not include central support charges or any allowance for the increased cost of the 2008/09 pay award.

5.1) Adults Services Panel

The key variance remains the cost of Transition. Growth has been awarded in successive budget settlements, but there still remains some unfunded pressure. Some alternative services are being set up to try to mitigate some of these costs.

The changes to the Continuing Care regulations continue to have an impact. The effect of this is twofold. Firstly, where responsibility transfers to the PCT there is a saving to the Council, and secondly, where this transfer is backdated, the PCT is liable to reimburse the council for any fees incurred during this period. Additionally, where the clients concerned are covered by the dowry money, an adjustment is made to this so that the PCT do not pay twice for the same clients.

There is a net pressure of £0.220m in staffing costs, which does not include any impact of the pay award over and above the 2% included in the budget. The bulk of this is wage pressures in SAS and Extra Care, offset by vacancies and delays in recruitment across a number of services.

The numbers of people receiving a Direct Payment rather than a traditional service continue to rise. Some of these costs are offset by savings within those traditional services.

Appendix B shows the position across the service as at the end of June 2008.

In summary, the breakdown of the projected £0.915m overspend is as follows :

	£m
Salaries and Agency staff	-0.380
Wages	0.600
Transition	1.000
Care costs/Income	
---- Residential/Day/Domiciliary	-0.210
---- Direct Payments	0.660
---- SAS Contracts	0.290
Continuing Care	-1.350
Housing Benefits / Other Local Authority Reimbursements / Dowry	0.260
Supporting People Grant	0.125
Other minor variations	-0.080
Total Variation	0.915

5.2) Children & Young People Panel

The two main budget pressures from 2007/08 are expected to continue in 2008/09, namely, the cost of providing services for children in care and home to school transport.

The non-school Education budget is showing an underspend of £0.360m as at 30th June 2008 (Appendix C). The main variances within this are:

- redundancy cost £0.198m
- staff slippage and minor variations £0.163m
£0.361m

The redundancy saving is expected to change during the course of the year, with the figure reducing to £0.147m as at 31st August 2008, when some teaching contracts end. In addition, there may be the need to agree further early retirements or redundancies during the remainder of the financial year.

The current home to school transport expenditure appears to be on target against budget. However, this is misleading as the expenditure in the summer tends to be less than that incurred during the autumn term. There is also a change in the pattern of activity at the beginning of the new academic year, therefore, it is only when the new routes and travel arrangements are clear, that a more accurate prediction of the outturn can be established.

However, based upon the latest trend information and the fact that there will be extra travelling days in the 2008/09 financial year due to there being no Easter holiday in this period. It is expected that the transport budget will be overspent by £0.300m - £0.350m. CYPS will continue to monitor the transport budget carefully and implement its agreed action plan to improve efficiency. It has also been agreed that there will be a fundamental review of transport services across the Council.

Overall, at this early stage, it is expected that CYPS non-school Education budget will break even against its budget.

The year to date spending against the Children's Social Care profiled budget highlights an underspend of £0.088m (Appendix D). This is comprised of:

Looked after children	£0.299m
Staff slippage and minor variations	- £0.387m
	<u>- £0.088m</u>

The projected outturn for looked after children is currently £0.745m, based upon the current care packages and recruitment to the current vacancies in this area. Since April 2008 the number of looked after children in external placements has increased from 573 to 589. The main growth areas are Direct Payments and Friends and Families fostering arrangements. However, there are still a considerable number of vacant posts across social care services and the extent to which the costs of looked after children can be mitigated will depend upon recruitment into these posts. Therefore the best estimate at this stage is a predicted outturn of £0.370m.

Due to the current, more stable, position on the CYPS budget it is proposed to revert back to quarterly monitoring reports to Cabinet. Detailed monthly monitoring reports will continue to be submitted to the Departmental Management Team and any significant change in circumstances will be reported to the Cabinet on an exception basis.

5.2.1 Schools Budget

As the Schools Budget is fully grant funded, it has no impact on the General Fund and hence is excluded from the figures in this report. However, there is still a rigorous monitoring process taking place. Individual schools are required to submit termly statements on which they receive feedback, with particular attention being paid to those schools with high levels of balances. Centrally managed elements of the Schools Budget are also subject to monitoring and are currently expected to break even over the course of the year, with any overspend being a call on next year's allocation of DSG

5.3) Community Protection Panel

5.3.1 Community Protection Panel - Community Protection Panel (Housing General Fund)

In the first quarter of the year we have incurred net expenditure of £0.058m on legacy asylum cases for which we are currently pursuing a claim with the Home Office. The adverse variation for Community Protection Panel as per the attached figures assume that we will not receive this external funding. The total projected costs for the year to be claimed amount to £0.081m at the moment the projected year end figures assume that we will not receive the external funding, the position of which will be known with greater certainty by the time the second quarter position is reported. Other efficiencies achieved within Environmental Services have offset to some degree the potential impact of this additional expenditure incurred.

5.3.2 Housing Revenue Account

As at the 1st April the actual housing stock was 20 properties higher than projected in the budget estimates, this will generate an additional rental income of £0.057m for this financial year. After the first quarter of the year the projected expenditure on responsive repairs produces a slight overspend which represents less than 1% of the budget available, this will continue to be closely monitored throughout the year. With regard to programmed repairs whilst expenditure is currently below the budgeted level this is to be expected at this time of year and it is still anticipated that expenditure will be in line with the budget by the end of the financial year. At this stage of the year there is no discernable variation from the budgeted management fee to report, the position will be clearer by the second quarter report, any savings that emerge may be required to cover any additional costs that emerge from the job evaluation process. One of the main factors to impact on the achievement of the budgeted surplus to be carried forward will be the calculation of the Council's subsidy entitlement. When this was re-calculated for the first advance claim a reduction in the interest rate applicable reduced our subsidy entitlement by £0.175m, this will be re-assessed for the second advance claim due to be returned by the end of August.

5.4) Environment Panel

Parking Services – the first quarter of the year has seen a reduction in the number of fines issued as a result of signage problems and a fall in income from multi storey car parks resulting in an adverse variation of £0.037m. It is anticipated that this trend will continue throughout the year resulting in a projected £0.120m year end deficit.

Waste Disposal – the first three months of the financial year has generated an underspend against budget of £0.089m due in the main to reduced tonnages of civic amenity waste being disposed. The level of civic amenity waste delivered to site to be disposed of is subject to fluctuation throughout the year and so at the moment the projected underspend at year end has been assessed at £0.090m.

Development Section Planning – The first quarter of the year has seen an underspend against budget of £0.074m. The main reasons for this are underspends on salaries of £0.071m due to the management of vacant posts, grounds maintenance of £0.058m and additional rental income received of £0.044m from shops and industrial units. These savings have been offset by the under recovery of £0.100m on Planning Application fees and Building Regulation Fees. Given the current climate it is anticipated that this under recovery will be in the region of £0.200m by the year end, this will be offset to some degree by departmental efficiencies of £0.071m.

5.5) Audit, Governance & Improvement Review Committee

The Council's Corporate & Democratic Services, Chief Executive's Department and Business Support Services Department are reported to the Audit, Governance & Improvement Review Committee. The significant variations to these budgets after three months activity are set out below:

Central Repairs & Maintenance Fund – after three months activity expenditure is £0.542m less than the budget profile, this follows the experience of previous years and is largely the result of difficulties in accurately profiling a £6m fund made up of many thousands of small jobs. It is anticipated that by the year end the expenditure incurred will match the available budget.

IT Investment Account – expenditure is £0.280m lower than the budget profile after three months activity. This follows the experience of previous years and reflects the difficulty in accurately profiling a budget of this nature. Around £0.500m is expected to remain unspent at the year end and, in accordance with the arrangements previously agreed by Members, will be carried forward.

Business Support Services Department Salaries – staffing vacancies across the Internal Audit, Accountancy, Benefits, Asset Management and Legal sections of the Department have resulted in a saving of £0.465m during the first three months of 2008/09. This situation has arisen due to a conscious decision not to fill many posts as they become vacant whilst the results of the support services review are being implemented. Some of these savings are likely to be offset by restructuring costs and the remainder will go towards the support services target. At this stage I anticipate that out of a full year Business Support salaries related budget of £23.7m, around £0.650m will be unspent by the year end.

Land Charges Income – the recent slow down in the housing market has resulted in land charge income being £0.055m less than expected after three months activity. It is difficult to forecast what the prospects are for the remainder of the year and the situation will be kept under review as the year progresses. However at this stage I would estimate that land charges income is likely to be at least £0.100m less than the £0.621m full year budget by the year end.

Brighter Borough – as at 30th June 2008 expenditure on this activity is £0.037m less than the budget profile. Based on the evidence of previous years, I would expect this to increase to around £0.150m by the year end which will be carried forward in accordance with the arrangements previously agreed by Members.

Gershon Efficiencies – the level of savings outlined above means that the Gershon efficiencies required to be generated by the services contained in the Audit, Governance & Improvement Review Committee budget are on target to be achieved during 2008/09.

Manchester Airport – The airport has announced a dividend for 2008/09 and £1.3m has now been received. This is reflected in the projected variation. The receipt of a dividend from Manchester Airport was not anticipated in the 2008/09 budget.

Positives futures liquidation_- The Council has received £0.764m which represented the funds held by the former Positive Futures. It was agreed by the Charity Commission that the funds could be transferred to the Council so long as it was utilised for specific purposes that met with the Charity Commission's criteria. The money will be held in reserve until such time as a decision is made on its application.

5.6) Regeneration

5.6.1 Markets

The first three months of the financial year have proved to be a period of difficult trading for the markets. During this period income was around £0.056m less than anticipated due to a combination of higher than anticipated unit vacancies and traders beginning to fall behind with payments. Having identified the recent trend for increasing payments owed by traders, markets management have taken steps to work with the traders concerned to reverse the situation and also to continue to reduce markets expenditure wherever possible. However the experience of the first three months trading, coupled with the current economic uncertainty affecting the retail sector, it is anticipated that it is unlikely that the loss of income incurred during the first three months will be fully recovered. At this stage markets management anticipate a net underachievement of income of £0.056m will remain at the year end.

5.6.2 Economic Development

The first quarter of the financial year produced an underspend of £0.046m due in the main to the management of vacant posts resulting in savings of £0.058m. At this stage given the uncertainty surrounding external funding the year end position is still projected to be in line with budget.

5.6.3 Neighbourhood Renewal Fund (NRF) and regeneration funded projects

Members will recall that owing to the Council's Excellence status we had the flexibility to carry forward any underspend from the previous year. The underspend of £0.328m now reported represents the residual balance of the sum carried forward from 2007/08 and it is anticipated that this will be fully utilised in the next quarter.

5.7) DSOs'

The Refuse Collection DSO - returned a deficit of £0.29m during this period against a budgeted surplus of £0.06m resulting in an adverse variation of £0.034m. The main reason behind this is increased expenditure on agency staff on the household refuse collection rounds, a subsequent recruitment process has reduced the need for additional agency staff to be procured. Management are in the process of ensuring steps are taken to deliver the budgeted year end surplus of £0.035m, as such the projection is for the year end variance to be zero.

Leigh Building Services - achieved a surplus of £0.031m during this period against a budgeted surplus of £0.015m resulting in a favourable variation of £0.015m largely due to underspends on employee costs. Given concerns about the reduced level of work being commissioned through NPS it is felt to be prudent not to anticipate a higher surplus than the current budgeted year end surplus of £0.200m. This figure is prior to any re-apportionment of the surplus to the Housing Revenue Account in line with the Best Value Accounting Code of Practice.

Metrofresh (formerly MCCS) - early indications are that the numbers of school meals are consistent with the budget and consequently that the school meals service will break even. There are no significant issues arising in MetroFresh's other areas of business so again, a break even position is expected.

6. Capital Charges and Asset Reversals

This area includes capital and notional asset charges which are budget neutral and have no impact upon the bottom line but there are also externally facing budgets contained within this area that relate to the Authority's cashflow. The successful management of cashflow provides a one off benefit which may be utilised to fund any unexpected one off costs. It may also be used to temporarily fund unbudgeted costs arising from the implementation of the Job Evaluation process to help balance the budget.

Interest has been earned to the end of June of £0.340m and it is predicted that at the end of the year this figure may rise to £1.350m which is £0.350m in excess of the budget provision, but given the uncertainty around interest rate levels it is prudent to wait until the interest is actually earned.

The revenue budget for 2008/09 made certain assumptions about the level of prudential borrowing. The actual prudential borrowing inevitably differs from these assumptions but the variations to date are not considered material.

7. Treasury Management

General Financial Market Conditions

The bank base rate began the year at 5.25% and has reduced by 0.25% on 10th April resulting in a current rate of 5.00%.

External Investments

	<u>Total Transactions</u>	<u>Value</u>	<u>Investments Outstanding</u>		<u>Increase in Period</u>
			31/3/08	30/6/08	
		£m	£m	£m	£m
Investments	108	382.8	44.7	58.1	13.4

Prudential Indicators

The prudential indicators for the year remain unchanged.

Performance of Investments

The average interest rate obtained on temporary investments is 5.57% which exceeds the published comparative 7 day notice bid rate as per the Financial Times by 0.55%.

Credit Ratings

Monthly data on credit ratings produced by Fitch Ratings are used to check the status of the organisations on the approved investment list.

The short term rating of Alliance and Leicester PLC has been downgraded and as a result it is now below the level required for inclusion on the Authority's lending list.

Leeds Building Society has recently been assigned credit ratings by Fitch which is within the Authority's guidelines.

Finally, I am recommending an increase in the limit for investments to clearing banks from £10.0m to £20.0m per institution to allow extra flexibility in placing funds as more institutions are becoming a higher risk for investment purposes.

8. Gershon Efficiencies

Members will recall that the backward looking efficiency statement was reported to Cabinet on the 26 June 2008. The forward looking report is required to be submitted to the DCLG in October 2008. This will be reflective of the new arrangements which have increased the efficiency target to 3% per annum and must be cash-releasing efficiency gains. Full details will be reported to Members in due course. It should be noted that some of the information disclosed in this report can be classified as Gershon efficiencies.

9. Balances

As a result of finalising the outturn for 2007/08 the available balances at the 1st April 2008 stand at £25.898m. It should be noted that certain items are earmarked to be funded from balances namely £5.699 carry forwards into 2008/09, balances to support the 2008/09 Budget strategy £1.247m and predicted funds required to meet the capital programme deficit as per the quarter 1 report elsewhere on this agenda £1.877m.

After taking into account the above earmarked items plus the predicted underspend as shown in Appendix A of £1.315m balances are predicted as £18.390m. The overall level of balances will of course be considered as part of the Medium Term Forecast for the next three years.

10. Conclusion and Recommendations

This budget monitoring statement is based on the first 3 months activity for the financial year. Where possible a prediction has been made to identify the position at the year end.

Given that this is the first quarter more certainty may be attached to the figures as the year develops, but clearly further variations or changes in circumstances will arise and these will be reported to members in future reports.

Recommendation

The Committee is requested to:

- (i) Note the budget monitoring position for the first quarter.
- (ii) Agree the proposed changes to monitoring for the CYPS budget
- (iii) Delete Alliance & Leicester PLC from the Authority's lending list.
- (iv) Add Leeds Building Society to the Authority's lending list.
- (v) Increase the maximum lending limit per clearing bank to £20m.

A/AJC/Corporate/01-wigan/budgets/0809/qtr1.doc

Calc

Summary Budget Monitoring Statement

APPENDIX A

Budget Monitoring Statement : April - June 2008

Panel	Restated Original Budget	Profiled Budget Period 1-3	Spend as per Agresso Period 1-3	Adjusted for other items	Total adjusted spend Period 1-3	Variation Period 1-3	Projected Variation to Year End
	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s
Adult Services	67,671	13,459	14,133	-581	13,552	93	915
Children & Young People	54,036	14,205	-2,747	16,491	13,744	-461	370
Community Protection	7,427	-8,503	-10,030	1,560	-8,470	33	44
Environment	40,337	9,711	5,413	4,086	9,499	-212	150
Audit, Governance & Improvement Review	16,738	20,359	-2,652	21,792	19,140	-1,219	-2,492
Regeneration	20,910	4,928	3,965	712	4,677	-251	56
Passenger Transport Levy	18,895	4,724	4,724		4,724	0	
DSO Surpluses	-238	-7	1,661	-788	873	880	-8
Capital Charges & Asset Reversals	7,444	4,759	362	4,305	4,667	-92	-350
Total	233,220	63,635	14,829	47,577	62,406	-1,229	-1,315
Original Budget as per Budget Book	233,220						
Carry Forwards	0						
	<u>233,220</u>						

Wigan Council

APPENDIX B

Adults Services Divisional Analysis	2007/08 Original Budget	Actual to date per AGRESSO	All items not on AGRESSO	Estimated Actual To..date	Budget To..date	Variance To..date	
	£'000's	£'000's	£'000's	£'000's	£'000's	£'000's	
COMMISSIONING BUDGETS							
ELDERLY - FRAIL	13,908	3,120	471	3,591	3,920	-329	
ELDERLY - E M I	9,180	2,663	193	2,856	2,800	56	
SUB TOTAL, OVER 65	23,088	5,783	663	6,447	6,720	-273	
UNDER 65'S - PHYSICAL DISABILITY	5,528	1,426	304	1,730	1,599	131	
UNDER65'S - LEARNING DISABILITY	5,740	509	475	984	643	341	
UNDER 65'S - MENTAL HEALTH NEEDS	3,682	987	225	1,212	1,243	-31	
UNDER 65'S - SUBSTANCE ABUSE	149	6	1	6	30	-24	
SUB TOTAL, UNDER 65	15,100	2,927	1,005	3,932	3,515	417	
ADULTS COMMISSIONING	38,188	8,711	1,668	10,379	10,235	144	
PROVIDER DIVISION							
ELDERLY	968	187	16	203	215	-12	
PHYSICAL DISABILITY	1,028	214	5	219	200	18	
LEARNING DISABILITY	9,115	1,081	288	1,369	1,350	19	
E M I	975	250	8	258	219	39	
MENTAL HEALTH NEEDS	181	-115	-1	-115	-125	10	
HEMOCARE / MEALS / TRANSPORT / MGMT (Holding Accounts)	470	787	-40	747	812	-65	
METROLITE	157	46	-14	32	29	3	
PROVIDER DIVISION	12,894	2,450	262	2,713	2,700	12	
OTHER ADULTS SERVICES IN PROVIDER	-424	66	9	76	79	-4	
STRATEGY AND HOLDING ACCOUNTS	-4,260	676	328	1,004	1,011	-8	
ADULTS TOTAL BUDGET	46,398	11,903	2,267	14,172	14,025	144	

Education Revenue Budget Monitoring as at 30th June 2008

Profiled Budget versus Expenditure

(Please note negative variance are favourable)

LOCAL AUTHORITY SPEND

Activity	2008 - 09 Total Budget			2008 - 09 YTD Position			
	Agresso Original Budget 2008-09 £	Budget Adjustment Forum & Other £	Final Approved Budgets £	Budget Profile £	Agresso Exp to date £	Known Commitments & adjstmnts £	Correct YTD Variance £
Strategic Management	7,980,826	61,230	8,042,056	2,708,836	492,864	1,992,547	-223,425
Standards Fund	68,948	0	68,948	451,823	-11,125,766	11,577,589	0
Non Delegated Other Grants	405,728		405,728	-111,638	-852,052	740,414	0
School Improvement	1,759,648	-67,583	1,692,065	366,154	132,214	216,059	-17,881
Supporting SEN	2,226,500		2,226,500	479,140	466,934		-16,387
Access	5,586,316	7,738	5,594,054	1,613,106	1,157,852	399,873	-55,381
Recoupment	0		0	0	0		0
Pre School Education	372,079		372,079	95,685	95,142		-543
Community Education	297,087	-1,385	295,702	21,083	-61,037	80,864	-1,256
Student Support	103,992		103,992	25,998	0	25,998	0
Home to College Transport	52,314		52,314	13,079	13,970		891
Youth Service	1,880,808		1,880,808	451,622	371,712	66,254	-13,656
Residual Pension Liabilities	513,639		513,639	146,976	138,448		-8,528
Management Support	1,266,013		1,266,013	454,636	139,477	292,759	-22,400
Connexions	0		0	46,022	56,157		10,135
Capital Charges	3,539,155		3,539,155	884,789	0	884,789	0
Total - EB	26,053,053	0	26,053,053	7,647,311	-8,974,085	16,260,759	-360,637

Section 2

This Section identifies the significant variances

Activity	Approved Budget 2008-09 £	Budget Adjustment Forum & Other £	Approved Budget 2008-09 £	Budget Profile £	Agresso Exp to date £	Known Commitments & adjstmnts £	Correct YTD Variance £	2008 - 09	2008 - 09
								Estimated 12 Months Expdtr 2008-09 £	Estimated Year end Variance 2008-09 £
Independent Schools	1,523,854		1,523,854	573,139	444,717	-80,168	48,254	1,577,362	53,508
3 & 4 Year Old Nursery Place Funding	3,592,798	81,745	3,674,543	1,224,725	1,414,768	198,793	8,750	3,674,543	0
Redundancy Costs	396,089	0	396,089	198,044	347		197,697	249,213	-146,876
Transport & Passenger Assistants	3,784,565	7,738	3,792,303	948,076	707,575	-211,530	28,970	4,092,303	300,000
Total	9,297,306		9,386,789	2,943,984	2,567,407	-92,905	283,671	9,593,421	206,632

Activities Monitored separately by Panel				Approved Budget 2008-09	Estimated Profile	Agresso Expdtr to date	Agresso YTD Variance	Known Commtmnts & adjstmnts	YTD Variance	Estimated 12 Months Expdtr 2008-09	Estimated Year end Variance 2008-09
				£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
Out of Borough											
BB	Childrens Homes	EY 219 4300	3,394,434	848,608	1,397,970	549,362	-275,948	273,414	4,056,669	662,235	
BB	Other Joint Funding	EY 219 7112	-98,032	-24,508	0	24,508	-5,738	18,770	-22,950	75,082	
BD	Fostering	EY 230 4300	2,081,667	520,417	511,307	-9,110	-72,196	-81,306	1,840,899	-240,768	
BD	In House foster carers	EY 230 4354	1,795,011	448,753	483,777	35,024	43,001	78,025	2,015,703	220,692	
BD	Friends & Family foster carers	EY 231 4354	753,256	188,314	189,358	1,044	16,325	17,369	723,483	-29,773	
Aftercare											
EB	Other Rents	EY 516 1252	329,270	82,318	54,236	-28,082	0	-28,082	313,829	-15,441	
EB	Personal Needs	EY 516 3411	74,242	18,561	16,205	-2,356	0	-2,356	71,852	-2,390	
EB	Grants & Subs	EY 516 3550	62,730	15,683	29,695	14,013	0	14,013	62,730	0	
EB	Recharge from SPG	EY 516 4300	10,512	2,628	0	-2,628	0	-2,628	10,512	0	
Homecare											
CD	Internal	EY 330 4361	114,299	28,575	44,001	15,426	0	15,426	311,488	197,189	
CD	Private Domicilliary care	EY 330 4004 CSLA	104,085	0	0	0	0	0	0	-104,085	
CC	Family Support - Direct Payments	EY 320 3550	238,470	59,618	89,516	29,899	-11,244	18,655	320,834	82,364	
CF	Family Support - Residence Orders	EY 352 4357	483,723	120,931	84,662	-36,269	7,664	-28,605	349,680	-134,043	
Adoption											
EA	Adoption Allowances	EY 500 4356	190,754	47,689	35,563	-12,126	7,220	-4,905	180,337	-10,417	
EA	SGO's	EY 502 4356	72,908	18,227	26,790	8,563	2,624	11,187	117,143	44,235	
Total				9,607,329	2,375,811	2,963,080	587,269	-288,292	298,977	10,352,209	744,880

Grand Totals

27,982,492 **6,557,865**

6,689,325

Agresso June 08 YTD overspend =

131,460

CYPS June 08 YTD underspend after internal adjustments =

-87,888

CYPS Predicted Year end overspend re Panel monitored areas only =

744,880